

ARCHAEOLOGY & HERITAGE

CE/22 – Archaeology

Requires developer(s) to commission a full survey of the site for sites of archaeological importance, assessment of their significance, and proposals for preservation in situ, examination and removal/preservation, or other treatment appropriate to their importance.

Sustainability Appraisal Objectives [abridged in some cases]	Assessment			Comments / Proposed Mitigation
	Short	Med.	Long	
1.1 Minimise irreversible loss of undeveloped land and productive agricultural holdings	~	~	~	
1.2 Reduce the use of non-renewable resources including energy	~	~	~	
1.3 Limit water consumption to sustainable levels	~	~	~	
2.1 Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species	~	~	~	
2.2 Maintain / enhance range and viability of characteristic habitats and species	~	~	~	
2.3 Improve opportunities for people to access the countryside and wild places	~	~	~	
3.1 Avoid damage to designated historic sites and their settings	+++	+++	+++	The primary objective of this policy. A preliminary survey of English Heritage records (see http://www.pastscape.org) ¹ indicates Iron Age remains were found during development of the Newmarket Road park & ride site, and the policy text indicates this feature is potentially more extensive. The northern

¹ The Pastscape site provides an incomplete register of monuments and listings, and does not include the cemetery site north of Cherry Hinton referred to in the policy text.

				park of this site occupies an area that will be converted to a small urban park, possibly allowing preservation of any remaining features. The policy text indicates that further finds may be made once the open area is excavated, and provides for appropriate treatment.
3.2 Maintain diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape	~	~	~	Effect classed as neutral due to limited presence of features (subject to confirmation by a full survey). Objective is addressed more fully by policy CE/23.
3.3. Create places and spaces that look good and work well	~	~	~	
4.1 Reduce emission of greenhouse gases and other pollutants	~	~	~	
4.2 Minimise waste production and support recycling	~	~	~	
4.3 Limit or reduce vulnerability to flooding and other climate change impacts	~	~	~	
5.1 Maintain and enhance human health	~	~	~	
5.2 Reduce and prevent crime and the fear of crime	~	~	~	
5.3 Improve the quantity and quality of publicly accessible open space	~	~	~	
6.1 Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities	~	~	~	
6.2 Redress inequalities in age, gender, race, location, faith, disability, etc.	~	~	~	
6.3 Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing	~	~	~	

6.4 Encourage and enable active involvement of local people in the community	~	~	~
7.1 Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to skills, potential and location	~	~	~
7.2 Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and infrastructure	~	~	~
7.3. Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy	~	~	~
Summary of assessment: Sustainable and consistent with PPG16.			
Summary of mitigation proposals: As with Northstowe, the size of the development will require an EIA and we assume that the site survey will occur as part of this work. In due course the AAP will need to be revised in line with Core Strategy policy CH/2 to provide for inspection of any remains found during redevelopment.			
Secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects: None identified.			

CE/23 – Built heritage

Requires the developer to survey the buildings and structures on the site (the policy excludes the North Works area and therefore the policy largely refers to the airport) to determine their heritage value and propose measures for their removal, retention and / or re-use for contemporary purposes where this is appropriate. The supporting text notes that the terminal building has a Grade II listing and any other buildings with a functional relationship to it are also protected.

Sustainability Appraisal Objectives [abridged in some cases]	Assessment			Comments / Proposed Mitigation
	Short	Med.	Long	
1.1 Minimise irreversible loss of undeveloped land and productive agricultural holdings	~	~	~	
1.2 Reduce the use of non-renewable resources including energy	~	~	~	
1.3 Limit water consumption to sustainable levels	~	~	~	

2.1 Avoid damage to designated sites and protected species	~	~	~	
2.2 Maintain / enhance range and viability of characteristic habitats and species	~	~	~	
2.3 Improve opportunities for people to access the countryside and wild places	~	~	~	
3.1 Avoid damage to designated historic sites and their settings	+++	+++	+++	The clear priority of this objective.
3.2 Maintain diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape	+	+	+	The policy notes that many of the most visible features at the site can be regarded as components of the Cambridge townscape and might therefore be retained.
3.3. Create places and spaces that look good and work well	~	~	~	
4.1 Reduce emission of greenhouse gases and other pollutants	~	~	~	
4.2 Minimise waste production and support recycling	~	~	~	
4.3 Limit or reduce vulnerability to flooding and other climate change impacts	~	~	~	
5.1 Maintain and enhance human health	~	~	~	
5.2 Reduce and prevent crime and the fear of crime	~	~	~	
5.3 Improve the quantity and quality of publicly accessible open space	~	~	~	
6.1 Improve the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities	~	~	~	

6.2 Redress inequalities in age, gender, race, location, faith, disability, etc.	~	~	~
6.3 Ensure all groups have access to decent, appropriate and affordable housing	~	~	~
6.4 Encourage and enable active involvement of local people in the community	~	~	~
7.1 Help people gain access to satisfying work appropriate to skills, potential and location	~	~	~
7.2 Support appropriate investment in people, places, communications and infrastructure	~	~	~
7.3. Improve the efficiency, competitiveness, vitality and adaptability of the local economy	~	~	~
Summary of assessment: A straightforward policy reflecting the current requirements of PPG15.			
Summary of mitigation proposals: The policy text states that listing of the airport terminal building means that listing also applies in principle to all other buildings related by function and historically. This situation suggests that structures such as the three large hangars would be retained as they are related functionally, and their visual impact makes them part of the current townscape. We noted in the Initial SA Report that these structures occupy land currently allocated to housing. If retained they would require a substantial reconfiguration of this part of the site, creating a visual barrier to housing in parts of the site and possibly creating shadowing problems in winter for the closest properties or other structures. The comprehensive site survey required by Policy CE/23 will identify which buildings should be retained and should take into account the need to develop a satisfactory urban environment.			
Secondary, cumulative or synergistic effects: See above.			